



# DeKalb Peachtree Airport 2040 Master Plan Improvements

**Committee Survey Findings**



# Survey Overview

- ▶ Opportunity for Committee Members' feedback
- ▶ Voluntary and non-binding
- ▶ Open December 15th – January 15th
- ▶ Airside improvement projects (18 areas)
- ▶ Landside improvements projects (8 areas)
- ▶ Scale: Strongly Agree / Agree / Neutral / Disagree / Strongly Disagree

# Which committee do you serve on?

- 5** — Citizen Advisory Committee
- 1** — Intergovernmental Committee
- 1** — Technical Advisory Committee

# Do you support maintaining the PDK airport as a general aviation airport?

**6** — Yes

**0** — No

**1** — Neutral

# Do you support maintaining safe aeronautical facilities at the PDK airport?

**7** — Yes

**0** — No

**0** — Neutral

# [A-1] Southwest Quadrant Development: 8 additional corporate size hangars and new exclusive PDK Aircraft Rescue Firefighting ARFF Station

- 4** — Strongly Agree
- 2** — Agree
- 0** — Neither Agree or Disagree
- 0** — Disagree
- 1** — Strongly Disagree

# [A-2] Runway Incursion Mitigation: Reconfigure and improve aircraft circulation on airfield to mitigate pilot confusion and improve overall safety.

- 6 — Strongly Agree
- 1 — Agree
- 0 — Neither Agree or Disagree
- 0 — Disagree
- 0 — Strongly Disagree

# [A-3] Apron Maintenance: Preserve and maintain airfield apron pavement.

- 5** — Strongly Agree
- 1** — Agree
- 1** — Neither Agree or Disagree
- 0** — Disagree
- 0** — Strongly Disagree



# [A-4] 21R-3L Pavement Maintenance: Preserve and maintain airfield runway pavement.

- 5** — Strongly Agree
- 0** — Agree
- 1** — Neither Agree or Disagree
- 0** — Disagree
- 0** — Strongly Disagree

# [A-5] 21L-3R Pavement Maintenance: Preserve and maintain airfield runway pavement.

- 6 — Strongly Agree
- 0 — Agree
- 0 — Neither Agree or Disagree
- 0 — Disagree
- 1 — Strongly Disagree

# [A-6] Taxiway Maintenance: Preserve and maintain airfield taxiway pavement

- 6 — Strongly Agree
- 1 — Agree
- 0 — Neither Agree or Disagree
- 0 — Disagree
- 0 — Strongly Disagree

# [A-7] Remove Tiedowns (Park Area): Relocate Tiedowns



- 1** — Strongly Agree
- 4** — Agree
- 2** — Neither Agree or Disagree
- 0** — Disagree
- 0** — Strongly Disagree

# [A-8] 16-34 Pavement Maintenance: Preserve and maintain airfield runway pavement.

- 6 — Strongly Agree
- 1 — Agree
- 0 — Neither Agree or Disagree
- 0 — Disagree
- 0 — Strongly Disagree

# [A-9] Proposed NW T-Hangar Replacement: Replace T-Hangar with new T-Hangars.

- 3** — Strongly Agree
- 2** — Agree
- 2** — Neither Agree or Disagree
- 0** — Disagree
- 0** — Strongly Disagree

# [A-10] Proposed Tiedown Apron: Establish new tiedown apron for the relocated (Park Area) tiedowns

- 2 — Strongly Agree
- 3 — Agree
- 2 — Neither Agree or Disagree
- 0 — Disagree
- 0 — Strongly Disagree

# [A-11] County T-Hangars: Replace T-Hangar with new T-Hangars.

- 3** — Strongly Agree
- 2** — Agree
- 2** — Neither Agree or Disagree
- 0** — Disagree
- 0** — Strongly Disagree



# [A-12] Obstruction Removal: Relocate Chamblee Tucker street lighting poles.

- 6** — Strongly Agree
- 1** — Agree
- 0** — Neither Agree or Disagree
- 0** — Disagree
- 0** — Strongly Disagree

# [A-13] Proposed MALSR Upgrade: Enhance approach lighting system.

- 6** — Strongly Agree
- 1** — Agree
- 0** — Neither Agree or Disagree
- 0** — Disagree
- 0** — Strongly Disagree

# [A-14] Proposed Parallel Taxiway (Eastside): Establish parallel taxiway to serve Runway 21L-3R and the proposed East Ops Development.

- 5** — Strongly Agree
- 0** — Agree
- 1** — Neither Agree or Disagree
- 0** — Disagree
- 1** — Strongly Disagree

# [A-15] Proposed Inert Landfill Removal: Remove landfill and replace with developable compliant fill.

- 4 — Strongly Agree
- 1 — Agree
- 0 — Neither Agree or Disagree
- 1 — Disagree
- 1 — Strongly Disagree

# [A-16] Proposed Eastside Ops – North VOR Area: 15 additional corporate size hangars.

- 4 — Strongly Agree
- 1 — Agree
- 1 — Neither Agree or Disagree
- 0 — Disagree
- 1 — Strongly Disagree

# [A-17] Runway 34 RSA: Correct in-compliant Runway Safety Area (RSA) grading and design standard for Runway 34

- 5** — Strongly Agree
- 2** — Agree
- 0** — Neither Agree or Disagree
- 0** — Disagree
- 0** — Strongly Disagree

# [A-18] Proposed Eastside Ops – South T-hangar: 5 additional t-hangars.

- 2 — Strongly Agree
- 3 — Agree
- 1 — Neither Agree or Disagree
- 0 — Disagree
- 1 — Strongly Disagree

# [L-1] Rehabilitate Airport Road: Preserve and maintain Airport Road.

- 2 — Strongly Agree
- 5 — Agree
- 0 — Neither Agree or Disagree
- 0 — Disagree
- 0 — Strongly Disagree



# [L-2] Admin Building Renovation: Renovate/ Reconstruct Airport Administration Building.

- 5** — Strongly Agree
- 2** — Agree
- 0** — Neither Agree or Disagree
- 0** — Disagree
- 0** — Strongly Disagree

# [L-3] Admin Parking Deck: Construct parking deck for Airport users and visitors.

- 1** — Strongly Agree
- 5** — Agree
- 0** — Neither Agree or Disagree
- 0** — Disagree
- 1** — Strongly Disagree

# [L-4] Rehabilitate Flightway Drive: Preserve and maintain Flightway Drive.

- 4** — Strongly Agree
- 2** — Agree
- 1** — Neither Agree or Disagree
- 0** — Disagree
- 0** — Strongly Disagree

# [L-5] Relocate Flightway Drive Entrance: Relocate small portion of Flightway Drive entrance out of Runway 21L-3R Object Free Area (OFA)

- 2 — Strongly Agree
- 3 — Agree
- 2 — Neither Agree or Disagree
- 0 — Disagree
- 0 — Strongly Disagree

# [L-6] Rehabilitate Corsair Drive: Preserve and maintain Corsair Drive

- 2** — Strongly Agree
- 4** — Agree
- 1** — Neither Agree or Disagree
- 0** — Disagree
- 0** — Strongly Disagree

# [L-7] Remove County Sanitation: Relocate County Sanitation out of Runway 21L-3R Object Free Area (OFA)

- 2 — Strongly Agree
- 5 — Agree
- 0 — Neither Agree or Disagree
- 0 — Disagree
- 0 — Strongly Disagree

# [L-8] Dresden Drive Streetscape: Beautify the portion of Dresden Drive fronting PDK Airport

- 4** — Strongly Agree
- 2** — Agree
- 0** — Neither Agree or Disagree
- 0** — Disagree
- 1** — Strongly Disagree

# Are there any areas or topics you feel were missing from the PDK Masterplan Improvement process?

“Any real public involvement that might produce results in contrast to the desires of PDK and the FAA to expand operations and capacity at all costs. Real and independent public surveys that directly asked the public if the PDK master plan should include facilities that expand capacity.”



# Are there any areas or topics you feel were missing from the PDK Masterplan Improvement process?

1. Add Carbon Capture mechanisms to PDK.
2. Improved PDK communications with Community and municipalities

# Are there any areas or topics you feel were missing from the PDK Masterplan Improvement process?

1. Lowering the minimum altitude for the ILS 21L from 400 ft to 200 ft. This should be a priority.
2. Get rid of the “bump” on runway 34 upon landing it is a safety issue.

# Please provide any additional comments



"The problems with this process are many. Serious and consistent lack of communication. Decision making behind closed doors and submission to the FAA for approval before public or committee comment. Incorporating growth projections for operations that far exceed the FAA TAF projections in order to justify expansion of facilities and push based-aircraft facility expansion that, in Baker Intl's own words in WP #1, are the only limiting factor on growth, growth that the community does not want and degrade the health and quality of life of the surrounding area. Deliberate obstacles to communications among committee members. Open disrespect for the intelligence of committee members when it comes to publishing critical information in a timely manner (Working papers #2 & 3 for example). Completing both the public meetings and the committee meetings before even half of the master plan was made available, even though it is evident that the work was largely completed in order to make the presentations that were made. There is no separate question regarding the museum which I would agree with. My response to A-10 and A-11 is that the runways should be "maintained and preserved" but not improved or any structural capacity increased in order to comply with the 1987 lawsuit settlement assurances to the FAA, the public and the County regarding the "displaced threshold" structural bearing capacity."



**Please provide any additional comments**



"The plan looks good to me."



**Please provide any additional comments**



“The concerns in the letter several of us sent the commissioners largely remain.”

**Please provide any additional comments**



“Please keep county tie down areas for those who cannot afford hangars. I realize the market value may be correct but \$500/month is a little steep for some aircraft owners.”

