

FEBRUARY 2021 COMMUNITY COUNCIL RECOMMENDATIONS

Planning Commission Hearing Dates: March 2, 2021 & March 4, 2021
Board of Commissioners Hearing Date – Thursday, March 25, 2021

D1. TA-20-1244234	Director of Planning & Sustainability Bouldercrest-Cedar Grove-Moreland Overlay	Districts 3 & 6
Denial 6-1-0	The board considers the changes proposed in the text amendment to be detrimental to the residential neighborhoods in the Bouldercrest-Cedar Grove-Moreland Overlay District.	
D2. TA-20-1244277	Director of Planning & Sustainability Self-storage/Mini-warehouse	County-wide
Approval 2-0-0	Community Council 1 – No Quorum; members present voted for approval.	
Deferral w/Conditions 5-0-0	Community Council 2 – Voted to defer and to add conditions: 1) limit lighting when nearby residential 2) limit hours of operation if close to residential 3) remove the possibility of a SLUP from C-1 zoning in Use Table.	
Deferral 6-0-0	Community Council 3 – Board members discussed the proliferation of self-storage facilities of all sizes in the District. They recommended full cycle deferral to allow time for Planning staff to research a larger separation distance within District 3 other than the proposed Countywide separation of 1,500 feet.	
Approval 11-0-0	Community Council 4	
Deferral 9-0-0	Community Council 5	
D3. TA-21-1244279	Director of Planning & Sustainability Small Box Discount Retailers	County-wide
Approval 2-0-0	Community Council 1 – No Quorum; members present voted for approval.	
No Vote	Community Council 2 – No action taken.	
Full Cycle Deferral 8-0-0	Community Council 3 – Voted to defer with the understanding that the moratorium will be extended and the text amendment will be placed on the May BOC agenda.	
Full Cycle Deferral 11-0-0	Community Council 4 – To allow more community input.	
Full Cycle Deferral 9-0-0	Community Council 5 – Defer, per Staff recommendation.	
N1. TA-21-1244539	Director of Planning & Sustainability 2035 Comprehensive Plan	County-wide
Approval 2-0-0	Community Council 1 – No Quorum; members present voted for approval.	
Deferral 5-0-0	Community Council 2 – Defer 30 days, per Staff recommendation.	
Deferral 8-0-0	Community Council 3 – Defer 30 days, per Staff recommendation.	
Deferral 12-0-0	Community Council 4 – Defer to allow more public comment and to confirm whether or not the “parcel-specific” land use maps were changing with the adoption of the five-year comp plan update.	
Deferral 7-0-0	Community Council 5 – Defer 30 days, per Staff recommendation.	
N2. LP-21-1243933	Kyle Williams & Williams Teusink, LLC	Districts 4 & 6
Deferral 12-1-0	There was concern and discussion about traffic impacts on Rockbridge Road; and the Council wanted to see the results and recommendations of a Traffic Impact Study. Additionally, the Council was concerned about how the comprehensive plan update would impact the future land use character area of these properties.	

N3. Z-21-1243934	Kyle Williams & Williams Teusink, LLC	Districts 4 & 6
Deferral 12-1-0	There was concern and discussion about traffic impacts on Rockbridge Road; and the Council wanted to see the results and recommendations of a Traffic Impact Study. Additionally, the Council was concerned about how the comprehensive plan update would impact the future land use character area of these properties.	
N4. LP-21-1244555	Sang Gloamy L. Morris	Districts 5 & 7
Approval w/Conditions 8-0-0	Approval with the condition that no alcohol outlet (principal or accessory); auto/truck repair, sales, or service; convenience store; or gas stations (or fuel pumps—principal or accessory) be allowed.	
N5. Z-21-1244408	Sang Gloamy L. Morris	Districts 5 & 7
Approval w/Conditions 8-0-0	Approval with the condition that no alcohol outlet (principal or accessory); auto/truck repair, sales, or service; convenience store; or gas stations (or fuel pumps—principal or accessory) be allowed.	
N6. LP-21-1244580	Joseph Cooley, Esq.	Districts 5 & 7
Denial 8-0-0	There was strong opposition from the community.	
N7. Z-21-1244581	Joseph Cooley, Esq.	Districts 5 & 7
Denial 8-0-0	There was strong opposition from the community.	
N8. TA-21-1244599	Director of Planning & Sustainability North Druid Hills LCI Plan	Districts 2 & 6
Approval 5-0-0		
N9. Z-21-1244535	The Allen Morris Company	Districts 2 & 6
Approval 5-0-0		
N10. LP-21-1244541	Aiesha Thomas	Districts 5 & 7
Approval 8-0-0		
N11. Z-21-1244542	Aiesha Thomas	Districts 5 & 7
Approval 8-0-0		
N12. SLUP-21-1244249	Casswell Design Group	Districts 4 & 7
Denial 11-0-1	The Council recommended denial due to concerns about traffic impacts, potential flooding impacts from the large amount of parking areas, and sewer capacity. The applicant indicated that a Traffic Impact Study was in the process of being completed but was not yet finished. Council recommended that the results of the Traffic Impact Study be shared with the community when it is completed.	
N13. Z-21-1244531	Inline Communities, LLC c/o Battle Law	Districts 4 & 6
Denial 10-2-0	Discussion included concerns about traffic safety and sight distance issues along Northern Avenue, potential flooding impacts, and density.	
N14. SLUP-21-1244540	Glory Be, Inc.	Districts 2 & 6
Approval 5-0-0		
N15. Z-21-1244544	Mustaq Moosa	Districts 5 & 7
Denial 8-0-0	Many community residents spoke in opposition to this project, citing its proximity to Berean Church and school, as well as traffic concerns and concerns about the abundance of similar businesses in the immediate area. Applicant did not attend.	
N16. SLUP-21-1244547	Mustaq Moosa	Districts 5 & 7
Denial 8-0-0	Many community residents spoke in opposition to this project, citing its proximity to Berean Church and school, as well as traffic concerns and concerns about the abundance of similar businesses in the immediate area. Applicant did not attend.	
N17. SLUP-21-1244548	Mustaq Moosa	Districts 5 & 7
	Many community residents spoke in opposition to this project, citing its proximity to	

Denial 8-0-0	Berean Church and school, as well as traffic concerns and concerns about the abundance of similar businesses in the immediate area. Applicant did not attend.	
N18. SLUP-21-1244574	Urban Retail c/o Battle Law	Districts 2 & 6
Denial 5-0-0	Denial because of the size, scale, massing, height, setback, and the use.	
N19. SLUP-21-1244575	Power House, Inc.	Districts 5 & 7
Approval 7-2-0		
N20. Z-21-1244587	AC Johnson	Districts 3 & 6
Approval 5-0-1	No Quorum; members present at the meeting thought that the proposed development would be suitable at the proposed location.	