



DeKalb County Department of Planning & Sustainability

330 Ponce De Leon Avenue, Suite 500

Decatur, GA 30030

(404) 371-2155 / plandev@dekalbcountyga.gov

Planning Commission Hearing Date: Thursday, January 6, 2022

Board of Commissioners Hearing Date: Thursday, January 27, 2022 November 18, 2021

TEXT AMENDMENT ANALYSIS

AGENDA NO.: D6

ZONING CASE NO.: TA-21-1244986

COMMISSION DISTRICTS: All Districts

APPLICANT: Director of Planning & Sustainability

SECTIONS OF ZONING ORDINANCE AFFECTED BY AMENDMENTS: SECTION 27-7.4.6 (SPECIAL LAND USE PERMIT; CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED)

REASON FOR REQUEST:

The *Zoning Ordinance* currently prescribes nineteen (19) criteria to be considered by the planning department, planning commission, and board of commissioners regarding review of Special Land Use Permits (SLUPs). While understanding the need for thorough consideration of these applications because of their potential adverse impacts on the community, the number of prescribed criteria is excessive, redundant, and inefficient. The goal of this proposed text amendment is to reduce the number of criteria from 19 to 13 and retain a desired wide range of community considerations.

The SLUP criteria are designed to illuminate adverse impacts related to certain land uses that may be acceptable, generally, but due to the nature of the use may produce adverse impacts on the surrounding community. The required public participation process fosters community discussion and determines if such impacts can be mitigated, tolerated, or whether they are simply too harmful to the community to permit.

On its face, the current criteria appear to reflect the desire to enumerate a general list of land use factors. It is the opinion of Staff that a number of criteria could be consolidated and/or eliminated while simultaneously not detracting from the intent of special land use permit consideration.

Many jurisdictions within metropolitan Atlanta (and beyond) evaluate conditional use permits, special land use permits, or special exceptions similarly to DeKalb County, however, with fewer criteria. The table below contains a cursory review of metropolitan jurisdictions:

Jurisdiction	Application Type	Number of Criteria
DeKalb County	Special Land Use Permit	19
Cobb County	Special Land Use Permit	15
City of Atlanta	Special Land Use Permit	7
Gwinnett County	Special Land Use Permit	6
City of Decatur	Conditional Use Permit	6

DeKalb County has the most criteria among the listed jurisdictions. Although each jurisdiction has its own rationale for evaluation, it is common for such applications to be evaluated upon fewer than ten (10) criteria.

Additionally, if one considers other land use related decisions, they also include fewer criteria than SLUPs. Zoning changes (i.e., rezonings) are evaluated based on eight (8) criteria. The Zoning Board of Appeals, a quasi-judicial body, reviews variances from the *Zoning Ordinance* based on evaluation of five (5) criteria. While it is impossible to account for every possible circumstance, many land use decisions are derived regularly with fewer criteria and little to no redundancy.

Upon cursory review, the SLUP criteria are duplicative and redundant. For example, Criterion A, which requires consideration of the size of the site to the degree that compliance with "all other applicable requirements" may be achieved. This is later followed by Criterion L which assesses whether required transitional buffers are provided. A number of other criteria hints at varying degrees of similar impacts (e.g. transportation/traffic, operation/land use, compatibility/consistency, etc.).

The text amendment proposes the following consolidations:

1. Eliminate Criteria "L" regarding transitional buffer compliance and consolidate with Criteria "A" relating to adequacy of the site.
2. Eliminate Criteria "G" regarding adverse impacts on adjacent properties and consolidate with Criteria "B" relating to compatibility with adjacent and surrounding properties.
3. Eliminate Criteria "D" regarding adequacy of the public street on which the use is proposed and consolidate with Criteria "C" relating to adequacy of public services and facilities.
4. Eliminate Criteria "E" regarding whether existing land uses along access routes to the site will be adversely affected by volume of traffic of proposed use. This issue should be sufficiently addressed by Criteria "B" which deals with compatibility of use with adjacent and surrounding properties.
5. Eliminate Criteria "I" regarding whether proposed use will create adverse impacts upon adjoining land uses by reason of manner of operation and consolidate with Criteria H which relates to adverse impacts by hours of operation.
6. Eliminate Criteria "R" regarding creating a negative shadow impact on adjoining lots with Criteria "O" which relates to appropriateness of size, scale, and massing of proposed buildings with the size, scale, and massing of adjoining buildings.

It is important to ensure that any public review process is fair, efficient, and somewhat predictable for all involved. As stated earlier, on its face, nineteen criteria are beyond the norm for this type of application. However, each SLUP application requires thorough evaluation or consideration of all factors in play in addition to those not captured by the existing list; the complexity of which is proportional to the complexity of the proposal. The large number of criteria can be cumbersome to review if there are a large number of items for consideration on the agenda. Additionally, consolidation of some criteria may produce more targeted responses, which should aid the evaluation process.

In conclusion, the proposal does not change the SLUP process. It does not alter opportunities for public participation, nor does it limit the range of topics that are open to consideration during the public participation process. Moreover, the text amendment does not change the purpose of SLUPs as a zoning tool. This text amendment does seek to reduce the number of criteria, reduce duplication, and improve administrative efficiency. To ensure that this proposal has adequate consideration, Staff would respectively request consideration by the Planning, Economic Development, and Community Services (PECS) Committee and a full-cycle deferral.

RECOMMENDATION: Full-cycle deferral

AN ORDINANCE

AN ORDINANCE TO AMEND SECTION 7.4.6 OF THE DEKALB COUNTY ZONING ORDINANCE TO MODIFY CRITERIA TO BE CONSIDERED IN EVALUATING AND DECIDING AN APPLICATION FOR A SPECIAL LAND USE AMENDMENT

WHEREAS, the Zoning Ordinance currently prescribes nineteen (19) criteria to be considered by the planning department, planning commission, board of commissioners, applicants, and affected citizens regarding review of Special Land Use Permits (SLUPs);

WHEREAS, the large number of criteria can be cumbersome to review by commissioners, applicants, and affected citizens if there are a large number of items for consideration on the agenda;

WHEREAS, some of the SLUP criteria appear to be duplicative and could be consolidated with other criteria for more efficient review by commissioners and affected citizens as well as a less cumbersome process for applicants submitting SLUP applications;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED AND IT IS DECLARED BY THE GOVERNING AUTHORITY OF DEKALB COUNTY, GEORGIA, AS FOLLOWS:

1. To consolidate and reduce the number of criteria from nineteen (19) to twelve (13) to address these issues as follows:
 - a. Eliminate Criteria “L” regarding transitional buffer compliance and consolidate with Criteria “A” relating to adequacy of the site.
 - b. Eliminate Criteria “G” regarding adverse impacts on adjacent properties and consolidate with Criteria “B” relating to compatibility with adjacent and surrounding properties.
 - c. Eliminate Criteria “D” regarding adequacy of the public street on which the use is proposed and consolidate with Criteria “C” relating to adequacy of public services and facilities.
 - d. Eliminate Criteria “E” regarding whether existing land uses along access routes to the site will be adversely affected by volume of traffic of proposed use. This

issue should be sufficiently addressed by Criteria “B” which deals with compatibility of use with adjacent and surrounding properties.

e. Eliminate Criteria “I” regarding whether proposed use will create adverse impacts upon adjoining land uses by reason of manner of operation and consolidate with Criteria H which relates to adverse impacts by hours of operation.

f. Eliminate Criteria “R” regarding creating a negative shadow impact on adjoining lots with Criteria “O” which relates to appropriateness of size, scale, and massing of proposed buildings with the size, scale, and massing of adjoining buildings.

November 5, 2021

ADOPTED by the DeKalb County Board of Commissioners, this _____ day of _____, 2021.

STEPHEN R. BRADSHAW

Presiding Officer

Board of Commissioners

DeKalb County, Georgia

APPROVED by the Chief Executive Officer of DeKalb County, this _____ day of _____, 2021.

MICHAEL L. THURMOND

Chief Executive Officer

DeKalb County, Georgia

ATTEST:

BARBARA H. SANDERS-NORWOOD, CCC

Clerk to the Board of Commissioners and
Chief Executive Officer

DeKalb County, Georgia

APPROVED AS TO SUBSTANCE:

ANDREW A. BAKER

Director of Planning and Sustainability

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

VIVIANE H. ERNSTES

County Attorney

Sec. 7.4.6. Special land use permit; criteria to be considered.

The following criteria shall be considered by the planning department, the planning commission, and the board of commissioners in evaluating and deciding any application for a special land use permit. No application for a special land use permit shall be granted by the board of commissioners unless satisfactory provisions and arrangements have been made concerning each of the following factors, all of which are applicable to each application, and the application is in compliance with all applicable regulations of this Code:

- A. Adequacy of the size of the site for the use contemplated and whether or not adequate land area is available for the proposed use including provision of all required yards, open space, off-street parking, transitional buffer zones, and all other applicable requirements of the zoning district in which the use is proposed to be located.
 - B. Compatibility of the proposed use with adjacent properties and land uses and with other properties and land uses in the district, and whether the proposed use will create adverse impacts upon any adjoining land use by reason of traffic volume/congestion, noise, smoke, odor, dust, or vibration generated by the proposed use.
 - C. Adequacy of public services, public (or private) facilities, public (or private) streets (including traffic-carrying capacity), and utilities to serve the proposed use.
 - D. ~~Adequacy of the public street on which the use is proposed to be located and whether or not there is sufficient traffic carrying capacity for the use proposed so as not to unduly increase traffic and create congestion in the area.~~
 - E. ~~Whether existing land uses located along access routes to the site will be adversely affected by the character of the vehicles or the volume of traffic generated by the proposed use.~~
 - F. Adequacy of ingress and egress to the subject property and to all proposed buildings, structures, and uses thereon, with particular reference to pedestrian and automotive safety and convenience, traffic flow and control, and access in the event of fire or other emergency.
 - G. ~~Whether the proposed use will create adverse impacts upon any adjoining land use by reason of noise, smoke, odor, dust, or vibration generated by the proposed use.~~
 - H. Whether the proposed use will create adverse impacts upon any adjoining land use by reason of the manner and hours of operation of the proposed use.
 - I. ~~Whether the proposed use will create adverse impacts upon any adjoining land use by reason of the manner of operation of the proposed use.~~
 - J. Whether the proposed use is otherwise consistent with the requirements of the zoning district classification in which the use is proposed to be located.
 - K. Whether the proposed use is consistent with the policies of the comprehensive plan.
 - L. ~~Whether the proposed use provides for all required buffer zones and transitional buffer zones where required by the regulations of the zoning district in which the use is proposed to be located.~~
 - M. Whether there is adequate provision of refuse and service areas.
 - N. Whether the length of time for which the special land use permit is granted should be limited in duration.
 - O. Whether the size, scale and massing of proposed buildings are appropriate in relation to the size of the subject property and in relation to the size, scale and massing of adjacent and nearby lots and buildings; and whether the proposed use will create any shadow impact on any adjoining lot or building as a result of the proposed building height.
-

-
- P. Whether the proposed use will adversely affect historic buildings, sites, districts, or archaeological resources.
 - Q. Whether the proposed use satisfies the requirements contained within the supplemental regulations for such special land use permit.
 - R. ~~Whether the proposed use will create a negative shadow impact on any adjoining lot or building as a result of the proposed building height.~~
 - S. Whether the proposed use would be consistent with the needs of the neighborhood or the community as a whole, be compatible with the neighborhood, and would not be in conflict with the overall objective of the comprehensive plan.

DRAFT