

DeKalb County Zoning Board of Appeals

Department of Planning & Sustainability 178 Sams Street, Decatur, GA 30030 DeKalb Planning

Lorraine Cochran-Johnson

Wednesday September 10, 2025

Planning Department Staff Analysis

Interim Director

Julian Njoku

Chief Executive Officer

N1. Case No: A-25-1247717

Parcel ID(s): 18 193 06 015

Commission District 02 Super District 07

Applicant: Frederick Andrien

2478 Greenglade Road Atlanta, GA 30345

Owner: Frederick Andrien

2478 Greenglade Road Atlanta, GA 30345

Project Name: 2478 Greenglade Road – Second-Story Addition

Location: 2478 Greenglade Road, Atlanta, GA 30345

Requests: Variance request from Section 27-2.2.1 of the DeKalb County Zoning Ordinance to:

- Reduce the required rear yard accessory structure setback from 15 feet to 4 feet, and
- Increase the maximum lot coverage from 35 percent to 39 percent

to facilitate construction of a second-story addition to an existing detached garage in the R-100 (Residential Medium Lot-100) zoning district.

Staff Recommendation: Approval with conditions

Conditions:

- 1. The following information about this variance shall be noted on any site plan prepared for the subject property: case number, approval date, type of variance and condition(s) of approval.
- 2. Variance shall only apply to a second-story addition on the "unfinished garage" as indicated on the submitted survey. The footprint shall not be expanded and all other applicable dimensional requirements must be followed.

STAFF FINDINGS:

The applicant proposes a second-story addition above the existing detached garage footprint. No expansion of the footprint is proposed. A variance is required to allow the addition within the reduced setback and to permit the increase in lot coverage associated with the historical property conditions.

Surrounding land uses are single-family residential, also zoned R-100. The property lies within the Suburban (SUB) Character Area.

1. There is an extraordinary or exceptional physical condition(s) pertaining to the particular piece of property (such as, but not limited to, lot size, lot shape, specimen tree(s), steep slope(s), or preservation of historic characteristics of the property), which was not created by the current owner, previous owner, or applicant; by reason of a clearly demonstrable condition(s), the strict application of the requirements of this chapter would deprive the property owner of rights and privileges enjoyed by other property owners in the same zoning district, as distinguished from a special privilege or convenience sought by the property owner.

The subject parcel is triangular in shape with irregular dimensions that differ substantially from the rectangular lots that characterize the surrounding subdivision. The lot measures approximately 0.58 acres with 275 feet of street frontage, but its narrowing depth at the rear produces a constrained buildable area. This condition is compounded by the placement of the detached garage, built in 1965, which was legally permitted under prior standards but does not conform to the current 40-foot rear yard requirement. The resulting condition is unusual within the R-100 district and was not created by the applicant.

2. The requested variance does not go beyond the minimum necessary to afford relief, and does not constitute a grant of special privilege inconsistent with the limitations upon other properties in the zoning district in which the subject property is located:

The request is limited to constructing a second story above the existing garage footprint. No expansion of the footprint is proposed, and no other dimensional standards are sought to be varied. The proposed increase in lot coverage from 35 percent to 39 percent is minimal in scope and is a legally non-conforming condition. Because the garage is already situated within the required setback, any reinvestment in its structural capacity necessitates variance relief.

While the reduction from 15 feet to 4 feet is numerically significant, it reflects the location of the existing legally non-conforming garage rather than a new encroachment and maintains sufficient distance from nearby structures for storage-only use.

Submitted materials by the applicant only included a survey and not a site plan with the addition. Staff will be conditioning that the variance shall only apply to the proposed second story addition to the "unfinished garage" as indicated on the submitted survey.

3. The grant of the variance will not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the zoning district in which the subject property is located:

The proposed addition would be compatible with the scale and character of the existing residence. Adding a second story above an existing garage does not intensify land use activity, create traffic, or otherwise alter the residential function of the site. The garage is buffered from adjacent properties by mature vegetation, which will mitigate potential privacy or visual impacts. Neighboring residences are located at sufficient distance to avoid adverse effects on light, air, or views.

4. The literal interpretation and strict application of the applicable provisions or requirements of this chapter would cause undue and unnecessary hardship:

If the rear yard setback requirement were applied strictly, the existing garage structure would remain non-conforming and could not be modified. This would prevent the applicant from reinvesting in or upgrading the garage, effectively rendering the structure limited to its current form. Such restriction would impose a hardship by depriving the applicant of a reasonable use and modernization opportunity available to similarly situated homeowners on conforming lots.

5. The requested variance would be consistent with the spirit and purpose of this Chapter and the DeKalb County Comprehensive Plan Text:

The Comprehensive Plan designates the site within the Suburban (SUB) Character Area, which emphasizes preservation of established neighborhoods, reinvestment in aging housing stock, and maintenance of residential quality. The proposed second-story addition represents a modest reinvestment consistent with those policies. Staff finds that the variance request is consistent with the purpose of the zoning ordinance and with adopted land use policy.

The intent of setback and lot coverage standards is to maintain adequate separation between structures, preserve neighborhood character, and avoid overbuilding of lots. In this case, those objectives remain intact: the garage footprint will not be expanded, buffers will remain, and the overall scale of development is consistent with single-family residential character. The request aligns with the spirit of the ordinance by accommodating the unique shape and non-conforming condition of the lot without undermining zoning principles.

FINAL STAFF ANALYSIS:

Staff finds that the subject property exhibits extraordinary conditions due to its triangular lot shape and legally non-conforming structures standards. The requested variances are the minimum necessary to permit reinvestment in the existing garage without expansion of its footprint, and the proposed second-story addition would not create adverse impacts on neighboring properties or the surrounding district. Strict enforcement of current setback and lot coverage requirements would impose undue hardship by preventing meaningful upgrades to the non-conforming structure, while approval would remain consistent with the intent of the zoning ordinance and the Suburban Character Area policies of the Comprehensive Plan. Accordingly, **staff recommends approval with conditions.**

Staff Recommendation: Approval with conditions

Conditions:

- 1. The following information shall be noted on any site plan prepared for the subject property: case number, approval date, type of variance, and conditions of approval.
- 2. The variance shall apply only to the proposed second-story addition above the existing detached garage, as indicated on the survey submitted. The garage footprint shall not be expanded, and all other applicable dimensional requirements of the R-100 district shall be met.



Development Services Center 178 Sams Street Decatur, GA 30030 www.dekalbcountyga.gov/planning 404-371-2155 (o); 404-371-4556 (f)

Chief Executive Officer Michael Thurmond

DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING & SUSTAINABILITY

Interim Director Cedric Hudson

ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS APPLICATION FOR PUBLIC HEARING (VARIANCES, SPECIAL EXCEPTIONS, APPEALS OF ADMINISTRATIVE DECISIONS)

Applicant and/or Authorized Representative: FREDERICK P. ANDRIEST,
Mailing Address: 2418 GREENGLADE RU
City/State/Zip Code: ATLANTA, 6A 30375
Email: forcoolegual. com
Telephone Home: 678-373-8600 Business: 678-232-8600
OWNER OF RECORD OF SUBJECT PROPERTY
Owner: FAEDERICK N. ANDRIENCI & WENDY S. ANDRIEN
Address (Mailing): 2478 GREENG/ADERS AD A16-6A 30275
Email: Fracuo Range II com Telephone Home: 178-933 Franciscos:
ADDRESS/LOCATION OF SUBJECT PROPERTY
Address: 2477 GRESNIGHT - RE City: ATK, State: HA Zip: 30342
District(s): 18 ³⁶ Land Lot(s): 193 Block: B Parcel: 1819306 015
Zoning Classification: R-100 Commission District & Super District:
CHECK TYPE OF HEARING REQUESTED:
VARIANCE (From Development Standards causing undue hardship upon owners of property.)
SPECIAL EXCEPTIONS (To reduce or waive off-street parking or loading space requirements.)
OFFICIAL APPEAL OF ADMINISTRATIVE DECISIONS.

PLEASE REVIEW THE FILING GUIDELINES ON PAGE 4. FAILURE TO FOLLOW GUIDELINES MAY RESULT IN SCHEDULING DELAYS.

Email plansustain@dekalbcountyga.gov with any questions.



DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING & SUSTAINABILITY

ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS APPLICATION

AUTHORIZATION OF THE PROPERTY OWNER

I hereby authorize the staff and members of the Zoning Board of Appeals to inspect the premises of the Subject Property.

I hereby certify that the information provided in the application is true and correct.

I hereby certify that I am the owner of the property subject to the application.

DATE: 1-34-25

Applicant

Signature:

DATE: 6 38 70

Applicant

Signature:



DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING & SUSTAINABILITY

ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS APPLICATION

AUTHORIZATION TO REPRESENT THE PROPERTY OWNER

I hereby authorize the staff and members of the Zoning Board of Appeals to inspect the premises of the Subject Property.

I hereby certify that the information provided in the application is true and correct.

I hereby certify that I am the owner of the property and that I authorize the applicant/agent to apply for a hearing to the ZoningBoard of Appeals for the requests as shown in this application.

realing to the zerningboard of Appeals for the requests as shown in this approach.			
DATE: 4/37/85	Applicant/Agent Signature:	122°	
TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN: (I)/ (WE): Execterist F. (Name of Owners)	ANDRIEVI	Through S. Andriewi	
being (owner/owners) of the proper signed agent/applicant. Notary Public Notary Public	Notary Public Notary Public Notary Public Notary Public	Owner Signature Owner Signature Owner Signature	
Notary Public		Owner Signature	

NOTE: ALL TEMS IN THIS LEGEND MAY NOT APPEAR ON THIS PLAT. AND AS FER DEED APP AS FER DEED APP AS FER DEED APP AS FER DEED BS. BULLIONING (ESTRACK) CTP CAMPUTED POWIT OF COMMING CTP CAMPUTED POWIT OF CAMPUTED POWIT OF COMMING CTP CAMPUTED POWIT OF CAMPUTED POWIT OF COMMING CTP CAMPUTED POWIT OF CAMPUTED POWI RBS 1/2" REWFORCING BAR SET SW SIDEWALK SSE SANTARY SEWER EASEMENT SSC SANTARY SEWER CLEAROUT SY FENCE UNE C CATCH BASIN SWGS FOOM WATER CATCH BASIN SWG STORM WATER CATCH BASIN SWALL ð MAGNETIC 5 6230'00- £ 3 123.76° A Constitution of the state of th I HAVE THIS DATE, EXAMINED THE "FIA FLOOD HAZARD MAP" AND FOUND IN MY OPINION REFERENCED PARCEL IS NOT IN AN AREA HAVING SPECIAL FLOOD HAZARDS. Q. (2) EFFECTIVE DATE: 08/15/2019 S. C. 92.63. S 68'25'12" E (2) FLOOD HAZARD STATEMENT 13089C0058K MO L • 707 88 E | 315.00 PANEL "82'95'81 N a (THIS PLAT IS A RETRACEMENT OF AN EXISTING PARCEL, OR PARCELS OF LAND AND DECS NOT SUBGRANE OR OFFAIR IN WE ARRCEL OF MAKE ANY CHANGES TO ANY PREAL PROPERTY BOUNDARES. THE RECORDING INFORMATION OF THE DOCUMENTS. MAPS, PLATS, OR OTHER INSTRUMENTS WHICH CREATED THE PARCEL OR PARCELS ASTESS HEREOW, RECORDATION OF THIS PLAT DOES NOT APPLY APPROVAL. CALL, JUNISOLITON, AND ALLABILITY OF PERMITS, COMPULANCE WITH LOCAL, JUNISOLITON, SOR EQUIPELARIES BY SUBJECTION ANY USE OR PREPOSE OF THE LAND. CHAPHERMORE, THE UNDERSIGNED LAND SURVEYOR CERTERES. THAT THIS PLAT COMPULES WITH THE MINIMUM TECHNICAL STANDARDS FOR THE CREATER SHAT THIS PLAT COMPULES WITH THE MINIMUM TECHNICAL STANDARDS FOR THE CREATER SHAD THE RECENT SURVEYOR OF RECOLLATION FOR PROPESSIONAL ENGINEERS AND LAND SURVEYOR SHAD AND AS SET FORTH IN THE RULES AND LAND And Control Co CERTIFICATE GEORGIA RLS NO. 2688 ~ THIS MAP/PLAT HAS BEEN FIELD RUN WITH ASSUMED ELEVATIONS, THEN ADUNSTED TO NAVO 88 BASED ON MALETREE OSSERVATIONS FROM GOOGLE EARTH SAFELITE IMAGERY OR OTHER ONLINE SOURCES. CH.BEARING S 81'06'57"W S 48'00'38"W TREE SYMBOLS ARE NOT TO SCALE TREE SYMBOLS REPRESENT POSTUDO OF TREE & ARE NOT THE CENTEAL ROOT ZONE (NOT DRIBLINE). NOTE: ANY & ALL UNDERGROUND UTUNIES, I.E. SEWER, STORM, GAS, WATER, ETC., HAVE NOT BEEN FIELD LICAARD BY CONVENTIONAL SURVEY METHODS. THE ELEVATIONS SHOWN HEREON ARE +/- 1' (± ONE SJRVETOR OPINIONS AND/OR NEIGHBORS SIRVETOR OPINIONS A PLUL LAND THE REPORT OPENION ON ALL PROPERTIES, INCLUDING ADJACENT AND CONTIGOOUS PROPERTIES MAY BE NEEDED TO RESOLVE ALL POSSBILE PROPERTY LINE DISPUTES OR DISCORDED-PACES. BUILDING LINES SHOWN HEREON DERIVED FROM VAROUS ONLINE SOURCES. THEY MAY BE IN CONTRINION OF CONTRIVION WITH OR DIFFER FROM INTERPRETATIONS OF COMERMING LINES, IT IS THE CLIENTS OBLIGATION TO CONFIRM WITH PERMITTING AGENCIES BASED OM THEIR SPECIFIC CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS. EVIDENCE OF APPARENT POSSESSION (RON PINS FOUND. OLD & ESTABLENGED PRINGE, LIDE, WALL LUKES, SHRUB & HEIDGE LINE, HOUSE LOCATIONS. ETC). THEY MAY DIFFER AND BE IN CONTENTION PROME AND AND AT THE APPLICABLE COUNTY COUNTHOUSE. THEY MAY ALSO DIFFER FROM OTHER BURDEN THIS PROPERTY. THIS MAP/PLAT IS SUBJECT TO REVISIONS AND UPDATE UPON RECEIPT OF SAID THE COMMITMENT. ALL MATTERS OF TITLE ARE EXCEPTED. THIS PLAT IS SUBJECT TO ALL LEGAL EASEMENTS AND RIGHTS—OF—WAY PUBLIC OR PRIVATE. ROTE: PROPERTY LINES SHOWN ON THIS MAP/PLAT ROPERTY PRISON. OF THIS MAP/PLAT REPRESENT PHYSICAL FIBLD CONDINGNS BY THIS WAP PLAT WAS MADE WITHOUT THE BENEFIT OF A CURRENT THE COMMITMENT, EASEMENTS AND ENCLABRANCES WAY EXIST WHICH BENEFIT AND SURVEYOR'S FRED ANDRIEN 07.86° 103.41° 41.82° 41.78° LENGTH CHORD S 40'38'15"E BEARING LIFT NO BACKER B. LINET 3. SER LIMB LOT TAX THAN SHENGT BROWNE COUNTY, EXTREMA PLAT PREPARED FOR: 107.86 GERALD H. BERNHARD 270.62 LENGTH 25.06 RADIUS A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR CAL -4 SALL -CAL ing gar CURVE C1 C2 LINE

Frederick Paul Andrieni, Jr.

2478 GREENGLADE Road, Atlanta, GA 30345

678-232-8600 fpacool@gmail.com

My Letter of Intent

TO: The DeKaib County Zoning Board of Appeals (ZBA)

SUBJECT: Variance Request for Rear Yard Setback Reduction - Section 27-2.2.1 - A Legally Non-Conforming garage addition to an Existing Accessory Structure:

- Rear setback reduction from 40' to 4'
- Increase lot coverage from 35% to 39%

Hello everyone, my name is Frederick P. Andrieni, I have owned and lived at 2478 Greenglade Road, Atlanta, GA 30345 for over 40 years. But first I'd like to thank you for your time and consideration in allowing me to present my request to you.

I am writing to formally request a variance from Section 27-2.2.1 of the Dekalb County Zoning Ordinance. My request pertains to the side/rear yard setback ordinance. The purpose of this request is to enable the construction of a second-story addition to an existing accessory building/garage that was built over 20 years ago. The footprint of the existing structure will not expand. The intended use of the addition is for storage. In doing so I need to increase lot coverage from 35% to 39%. In this request, I hope to demonstrate my position of fairness, appropriateness and complete desirability of the proposed addition.

1. Physical Condition of Site:

My property has a unique physical condition and a legally non-conforming layout which necessitates this variance. This parcel of land is characterized by an irregular shape lot that is non-conforming to a normal R-100 zoning lot. Additionally, none of the specimen trees will be disturbed or injured during the construction. None of the neighbors' houses or lots will not be effected by the improvements or the increase in lot coverage. The addition would also be in keeping with the style of the existing house which would add value to the property and neighborhood.

2. Minimal Variance Needed:

I can assure you that I am seeking the minimal necessary variance needed. No expansion of the currently existing footprint is proposed or required. I am requesting only to build a second story to a pre-existing structure on a non-conforming R-100 lot. The rear setback reduction that is necessary and requested is a reduction from a 40' Builders Setback Line (BSL) to 4' BSL. Please remember that this pre-existing structure is beside my house not behind it and that my lot is non-conforming R-100 lot to the other lots in the neighborhood. Also, there are plenty of buffers (mature trees and shrubs) between the existing structure and property line, even the addition would be out of view from the rear.

3. Public Welfare:

The proposed second story addition would be in keeping with the design of my existing home and would "complete the property look," therefore providing a very positive impact on the neighborhood and community. I have received very positive reviews for my addition from my neighbors: Paul and Sally Rosser, Kevin and Claudia Levitas, John and Amy Hilton, Linda Janssen and Don Durfee. Also, the reduced setback will not pose any harm to public welfare, neighboring properties, or the overall improvements in the R-100 zoning district. My second story will modestly enhance the property and fit well with the neighborhood without causing any negative impact.

4. Ordinance Hardship:

Due to the ongoing updates and changes to DeKalb County Zoning Ordinances, which are generally implemented for the benefit of the community and the uniformity of neighborhoods, many older houses that were originally compliant have fallen out of compliance over time. For example, homes built in the 1950s were subject to different building and zoning codes at the time of construction. Applying current building codes to older homes may raise concerns about equity, particularly in relation to property taxes associated with residing in this area. A strict interpretation of today's zoning laws would cause undue hardship for me, my property and the neighborhood. The current 40' BSL would make my existing current accessory structure totally unusable. Please remember that the current building footprint does not expand. Therefore, the variance is essential to prevent unnecessary undue hardship and allow for reasonable use of my land for the betterment of my property and the neighborhood property values.

5. Alignment with the Spirit of the Law:

My small, second story, storage project will in no way affect the spirit or style of my neighborhood, if anything it will complete the look, style and value of my property; and enhance the value of the neighborhood. I firmly believe that my variance request aligns with the spirit and intent of the DeKalb County Comprehensive Plan Criteria for a Variance (Chapter 27 Section 7.5.2):

- by "reasonable non-conforming topographical lot conditions which were not created by the owner, the strict application of the requirements of this chapter would deprive the property owner of rights and privileges enjoyed by other property owners in the same zoning district."
- "the requested variance does not go beyond the minimum necessary to afford relief...does not grant a special privilege"
- "the variance will not be materially detrimental to public welfare or injurious to the property or improvements in the zoning district"
- "literal interpretation and strict application...would cause undue and unnecessary hardship"
- "the variance would be consistent with the spirit and purpose of this chapter and the DeKalb County Comprehensive Plan text".

In conclusion, I truly appreciate your time and consideration of my variance request. I have been a responsible and contributing citizen of DeKalb County for over 50 years. Throughout this time, I have served on various corporate boards of directors, church trustees, and committees. Additionally, I have owned multiple businesses within this county and have chosen to retire here, continuing my engagement with the community. My commitment has always been unwavering.

Sincerely,

Frederick P. Andrieni, Jr.